109TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

H. R. 1190

To direct the Secretary of the Interior to conduct a feasibility study to design and construct a four reservoir intertie system for the purposes of improving the water storage opportunities, water supply reliability, and water yield of San Vicente, El Capitan, Murray, and Loveland Reservoirs in San Diego County, California in consultation and cooperation with the City of San Diego and the Sweetwater Authority, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

March 9, 2005

Mr. Hunter introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Resources

A BILL

To direct the Secretary of the Interior to conduct a feasibility study to design and construct a four reservoir intertie system for the purposes of improving the water storage opportunities, water supply reliability, and water yield of San Vicente, El Capitan, Murray, and Loveland Reservoirs in San Diego County, California in consultation and cooperation with the City of San Diego and the Sweetwater Authority, and for other purposes.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

- 2 This Act may be cited as the "San Diego Water Stor-
- 3 age and Efficiency Act of 2005".

4 SEC. 2. APPRAISAL INVESTIGATIONS.

- 5 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Interior, in
- 6 consultation and cooperation with the City of San Diego
- 7 and the Sweetwater Authority, public water agency, shall
- 8 undertake, an appraisal investigation to identify and study
- 9 opportunities for construction of a four reservoir intertie
- 10 system to improve water storage opportunities, water sup-
- 11 ply reliability, and water yield of the existing non-Federal
- 12 water storage system. The appraisal report shall include
- 13 a determination of whether or not to recommend the initi-
- 14 ation of a feasibility study for the proposed intertie sys-
- 15 tem.
- 16 (b) Cooperation.—The Secretary shall consult and
- 17 cooperate with appropriate State, regional, and local au-
- 18 thorities during the performance of the appraisal inves-
- 19 tigation conducted pursuant to this section.
- 20 (c) Costs.—The Federal obligations for costs of the
- 21 appraisal investigation conducted pursuant to this section
- 22 shall conform with Reclamation policy (Reclamation Man-
- 23 ual number CMP-05-01).

SEC. 3. FEASIBILITY STUDY, PROJECT DEVELOPMENT,

- 2 COST SHARE.
- 3 (a) In General.—The Secretary, in consultation
- 4 and cooperation with the City of San Diego and the Sweet-
- 5 water Authority, is authorized to undertake a study to de-
- 6 termine the feasibility of the reservoir and intertie system
- 7 recommended for such study pursuant to section 2. The
- 8 feasibility report shall document the Secretary's engineer-
- 9 ing, environmental, and economic investigation of the pro-
- 10 posed reservoir and intertie project under section 2, taking
- 11 into consideration the range of potential solutions and the
- 12 circumstances and needs of the area to be served by the
- 13 proposed reservoir and intertie project, the potential bene-
- 14 fits to the people of that service area, and improved oper-
- 15 ations of the proposed reservoir and intertie system. The
- 16 Secretary shall indicate in the feasibility report required
- 17 under subsection (c) whether the proposed reservoir and
- 18 intertie project is recommended for implementation.
- 19 (b) Federal Cost Share.—The Federal share of
- 20 the costs of the feasibility study shall not exceed 50 per-
- 21 cent of the total study costs. The Secretary may accept
- 22 as part of the non-Federal cost share, any contribution
- 23 of such in-kind services by the City of San Diego and the
- 24 Sweetwater Authority that the Secretary determines will
- 25 contribute toward the conduct and completion of the
- 26 study.

- 1 (c) Feasibility Report.—The Secretary shall sub-
- 2 mit to Congress a feasibility report for the project the Sec-
- 3 retary recommends, and to seek, as the Secretary deems
- 4 appropriate, specific authority to develop and construct
- 5 any recommended project. This report shall include—
- 6 (1) good faith letters of intent by the City of
- 7 San Diego and the Sweetwater Authority and its
- 8 non-Federal partners to indicate that they have com-
- 9 mitted to share the allocated costs as determined by
- the Secretary; and
- 11 (2) a schedule identifying the annual operation,
- maintenance, and replacement costs that should be
- allocated to the City of San Diego and the Sweet-
- water Authority, as well as the current and expected
- financial capability to pay operation, maintenance,
- and replacement costs.

17 SEC. 4. FEDERAL RECLAMATION PROJECTS.

- Nothing in this Act shall supersede or amend the pro-
- 19 visions of Federal Reclamation laws or laws associated
- 20 with any project or any portion of any project constructed
- 21 under any authority of Federal Reclamation laws.

22 SEC. 5. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

- The Federal costs for the appraisal and feasibility
- 24 study to be conducted and pursuant to this Act shall not

- 1 exceed \$3,000,000 of the total amount appropriated to
- 2 carry out this section.

 \bigcirc